SEGRET

ANALYSIS OF INTELLIGENCE
AT THE OUTBREAK OF THE KOREAN WAR

#1

AND

CHINESE INTERVENTION

D Approved For Release 2004/12/01 CIA-RDP79R01095A000800010001-2
R A 30 April 1951

SUBJECT: Statement on CIA's Position on Intelligence in the Far East

1. The Director will testify if necessary before an executive session of the appropriate Congressional committee. He will point out that his responsibility as Director of Central Intelligence did not commence until 1 October. At that time there was not any substantial evidence upon which to judge an estimate that the Chinese Communists would intervene in the Korean conflict, although there was evidence of Chinese trouble concentrating north of the Yalu river.

indications showed increased Chinese activities with the result that on 20 October a memorandum was sent to the President and the Secretaries of State and Defense indicating the possibility that the Chinese Communists ight intervene in the Korean conflict to protect the hydro-electric dams.

2. It can be stated that the relations between the CIA and the Far Eastern command were not everything that could be desired at the time General Smith assumed the Directorship of the agency. As soon as possible thereafter, together with Mr. Allen Dulles, General Smith went to Tokyo to confer with General MacArthur and General Willoughby. Thereafter the relations with the Far Eastern command were excellent.

3. An estimate was made by the CIA of the feasibility of using

The estimate came to the conclusion that

4. The official responsibility of the CIA is to the National Security Council. Intelligence estimates and reports produced by the CIA

such use would be of questionable military value.

25X1

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/12/01 CIA-RDP79R01095A000800010001-2

- Joint Chiefs of Staff. It is the responsibility of these departments to service each of their foreign field stations. In addition, CIA representatives overseas hand any material they may collect directly to the State Department and any U.S. military commands. On the other hand, the CIA does depend on the executive departments for keeping it informed of the problems of its field representatives. and overseas commands.
 - 5. No statement will be made concerning the organization or methods used by the CIA. Public Law 253 authorizes the Director to protect his sources of information.

maritan k

INDEX

l. O.N.E. Excerpts from National Intelligence Estimates relating to the war in Korea. TAB A is a chronological list of such estimates.	
2.	25X1
3. F.B.I.D. Foreign Broadcast Information Division reporting on the Korean War.	
4. F.D.D. Foreign Documents Division reporting on the Korean War.	
	25X1

DOCUMENT I	Approv	ad Ear Dal				-	RET			
		ed For Rei	ease 2 SIG	004/12/ NATURE	01 : CI/ E RECOI	A-RDP RD ANI	79R010	095A00080001 R SHEET	10001-2	
STORES -	DESCRIPT	ION							R	
	NE							ŀ	CIA CONTROL	EGISTRY
DOC. NO.	<u>VC /</u>							L	5	3361
DOC. DATE	25/51			1		1	-		DATE DOCUMENT	T RECEIVED
COPY NO.	<u> </u>		N	ile	They	. 6	,	 	LOGGED BY	
NUMBER OF ATTACE	HMENTS _							-		
personnel and t	hose indi	ividuals whos	se offic	utside of cial duti	ies rela	Access ate to t	to Top S	Secret document attached to the Secret matter is er. Top Secret indicate period	s limited to	til sich time a Top Secret Con
columns provided ight-hand colum REFERRED TO	1. Each	Individual W	wno sees	the Top	, Secret	docume	rm and i	indicate period sign and indica	1 of custod ate the date	ly in the left- of handling in
OFFICE		RECE SIGNATURE	EIVED	DATE	TIME		EASED		SEEN BY	
104	17.			DR	TIME	DATE	TIME		AND OFFICE	DATE
6A/T	LL_	~		'		7/1	16	Kellan	ed to E	18 from
						ILa		•	recapa	Tuck
ANIC	,;					120/	46			
ONE- AL	le_									
ONE- fi	roy		_		<u> </u>					
	0				1		 			
						<u> </u>	1			
		-			. 1	1 1				
	_									
										
				1			1			
				,						
	·									
					-					
							-			
					-+		-+			
					-+					
ICE OF DETACHME	⊇NT: Whet transmitt	n this form	is deta	ached fr	om Top	Secret	material	! it shall be co	lated in t	
DOWN	IGRADED	74 10 00	1 Top 5.	scret co.	DESTRO		rd.			
			BY (Sig	gnature)	DESTING	YED		DISPAT	TCHED (OUTS)	IDE CIA)
Signature)										
,		ľ	#ITNE55;	SED BY (S.	ignature	e)		BY (Signature	e)	

FORM NO. 26 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

25X1

TOP SECRET

1051 9115

53361 Copy No._/_

25 April 1951

"EYES ALONE"		
MEMORANDUM F	OR	25X1
SUBJECT:	Statement on Korean Intelligence	
REFERENCE:	Memorandum from the Executive Assistant to the Director for the AD/NE dated 23 April.	
ENCLOSURES:	1. Briefing 2. Chronological List	
of reference 2. Your of the Memora of a UN Milliwere passed I	r attention is particularly invited to the abstract andum of 17 August, "Factors Affecting the Desirability tary Conquest of all Korea." Copies of this memorandum by the DCI to the NSC Staff.	
3. If t	Lxecutive Secretary	25X1
	National Estimates Board	

BRIEFING AND CHRONOLOGICAL LIST

of

INTELLIGENCE MEMORANDA, ORE'S

and

NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ESTIMATES

relating to the war in Korea

25 April 1951

TOP SECRET

25X1

19 June 1950. ORE 18-50: Current Capabilities of the Northern Korean Regime.

(". . . contains information available to CIA as of 15 May 1950".)

The "Democratic People's Republic" of northern Korea is a firmly controlled Soviet Satellite that exercises no independent initiative and depends entirely on the support of the USSR for existence.

The major external aim of the North Korean regime is to extend control over South Korea, and it is capable of continuing and increasing its support of the present program of propaganda, infiltration, sabotage, subversion and guerrilla operations against South Korea. The program will not be sufficient to accomplish the main objective as long as US economic and military aid to South Korea is not substantially reduced or seriously dissipated.

The capability of the North Korean armed forces for both short- and long-term overt military operations is being further developed. The northern and southern Korean forces are nearly equal in terms of combat effectives, training, and leadership, but the North Koreans possess a superiority in armor, heavy artillery, and aircraft. Thus, even as presently constituted, North Korea's

TOP SECRET

armed forces have a capability for attaining limited objectives in short-term military operations against South Korea, including the capture of Seoul.

North Korea's capability for long-term military operations is dependent upon increased logistical support from the USSR. If the foreign supporters of each faction were called upon for increased assistance, there is no reason to believe that Soviet support would be withheld, and considerations of proximity and availability of such assistance would greatly favor the North Korean regime. Soviet assistance to North Korea, however, would probably not be in the form of direct participation of regular Soviet or Chinese Communist military units, except as a last resort.

Despite the apparent military superiority of northern over southern Korea, it is not certain that the northern regime, lacking the active participation of Soviet and Chinese Communist military units, would be able to gain effective control over all of southern Korea. The key factors which would hinder Communist attempts to extend effective control under these circumstances are:

(1) the anti-Communist attitude of the southern Koreans; (2) a continuing will to resist on the part of southern troops; (3) the Communist regime's lack of popular support; and (4) the regime's lack of trained administrators and technicians.

TOP SECRET

Current Military Situation. Trained and equipped units of the Communist "People's Army" are being deployed southward in the area of the 38th Parallel. "People's Army" and Border Constabulary units there equal or surpass the strength of southern Korean army units similarly deployed. Tanks and heavy artillery have also been moved close to the Parallel in recent months.

Current estimates place the strength of the "People's Army" (PA) at 66,000 men (including 16,000 ex-Manchurian troops) organized into at least three infantry divisions and an independent brigade. The PA's critical arms include: (1) an armored unit, estimated to possess 65 Soviet T-34 tanks; (2) divisional artillery units equipped with 76 mm guns and 122 mm howitzers; and (3) anti-aircraft units in the border regions. The 20,500-man Border Constabulary, which is also being expanded with ex-Manchurian levies, is nominally a paramilitary force and was previously armed with Japanese weapons. It has been trained to infantry standards, however, and has now been re-equipped with Soviet weapons.

According to current accepted estimates the Air Force consists of an air regiment of 1,500 men, including 150 pilots,

TOP SECRET

equipped with 35 YAK-9 and/or TL-10 fighters. . . This estimate may be subject to an upward revision in the near future. The North Korean navy performs mainly as a coast guard force; its strength is estimated at 5,100 men. There is a marine unit, of undetermined function, numbering approximately 5,400.

Morale in the armed forces is generally good. At the present time the North Korean armed forces are probably psychologically prepared to fight wholeheartedly against South Korean troops.

The northern Korean armed forces depend almost wholly on the USSR for logistic support. They are entirely the product of Soviet planning, and depend heavily on the large Soviet military mission for training at higher command levels and for tactical advice down to the battalion level.

28	June	1950	IM-300:	The USSR	and the	Korean	Invasion	
						7		

The invasion of South Korea was undoubtedly undertaken at Soviet direction and Soviet material support is unquestionably being provided. It is estimated that the USSR will seek to localize the Korean conflict. The USSR will probably provide support to North Korea short of open participation by Soviet

- L -

TOP SECRET

25X1 25X1

TOP SECRET

forces in an attempt to perpetuate the civil war and maintain North Korean positions south of the 38th Parallel. In the probable event that this attempt proves impossible, the situation might well develop into indecisive and intermittent hostilities stabilized at approximately the 38th Parallel. Meanwhile, the USSR will continue to provide substantial material aid to the North Koreans, including irregulars recruited from Chinese Communists and Soviet forces.

8 July 1950 IM-302: Consequences of the Korean Incident

There are at present four major alternative courses of action open to the USSR in connection with the Korean conflict. They are:

- A. To localize the Korean fighting, permitting US forces to drive the North Koreans back to the 38th Parallel, and to refrain from creating similar incidents elsewhere. Meanwhile, to develop the propaganda themes of US aggression and imperialistic interference in domestic affairs of an Asiatic Nation.
- B. To localize the Korean fighting, still refrain from creating similar incidents elsewhere, but (in order to

TOP SECRET

prolong US involvement in Korea) to give increasing material aid to the North Koreans, and perhaps to employ Chinese Communist troops, either covertly or overtly. The USSR would remain uncommitted in Korea, and would develop the propaganda themes as in alternative A.

- C. To attempt to disperse and perhaps overstrain US military forces-in-readiness by creating a series of incidents similar to the Korean affair in other parts of the world. Meanwhile the fighting in Korea would be prolonged as in alternative B.
- D. Immediately to attack the US and its allies.

It is estimated that the USSR is most likely to adopt alternative B. If conditions appear favorable to Soviet leaders, after pursuing this course of action for a few weeks or months, they may well shift to alternative C.

10 July 1950 IM-303: Soviet Capabilities with Respect to Japan in the Light of US Commitment in Korea

25X1

The USSR has the military capability of mounting, transporting and logistically supporting a waterborne attack on Japan with

ten to eleven divisions (11,000 men per division). There is in the Far East a sufficient bomber force for large-scale air attack on Japan. It is uncertain, however, whether the surface vessels of the Soviet naval forces in the Far East can give adequate naval support for an amphibious operation against Japan. No effort is made in this paper to estimate Soviet intentions.

This paper contains a brief estimate of Soviet military strength in the Far East.

10 July 1950 IM-304: Effects of a Voluntary Withdrawal of US Forces from Korea

Voluntary withdrawal of US forces from Korea would be a calamity, showing US commitments to be unreliable when severely tested, casting doubt on US military capabilities, handicapping efforts to maintain US alliances and build political influence among nations whose cooperation is needed for the containment of Communism, and probably encouraging Soviet initiation of limited wars in other areas.

2 August 1950 IM-311: Northern Korea's Dependence on Outside Supplies

A factual review of North Korea's import requirements, and

Approved For Release 2004/12/01 : CIA-RDP79R01095A000800010001-2

25X1

TOP SECRET

of	the	pat ter r	of	foreign	trade	by	which	they	are	fulfi	lled <u>•</u> 7	7
					000 Was 1887	_ •						
19	July	1950	CIA	7-50: 1	Review	of	the W	orld (Situa	ation	<u> </u>	\neg

Apart from the immediate strategic advantages of Communist control of all Korea, the primary aim of the USSR in instigating the attack probably was to discredit the US policy of general containment of Soviet-Communism. It amounts to a laboratory test of the advantages the USSR might gain by fighting a war of limited objectives and limited liabilities through the medium of puppet troops.

The efficient military performance of the invading forces in the first three weeks of battle indicates that there is little probability that the North Koreans can be quickly driven back to the 38th Parallel. The USSR can supply material aid in sufficient quantities to prolong the fighting and deeply involve the US in Korean military operations. Chinese Communist troops can be brought into action covertly and, if necessary, openly. The USSR might consider that the risk of provoking a global war was not substantial so long as no Soviet forces were openly committed.

It is not yet clear whether the USSR will force the	
Chinese Communists to give open military support to the Korean	
operations or to start a new operation elsewhere in the area.	
The Peiping regime almost certainly would comply with a Soviet	
request for military action.	
4 August 1950 IM-315: Possible Soviet Use of Japanese Prisoners of War	25X1
Estimate that it is not likely that the USSR will attempt	
to use the Japanese prisoners of war under its control for action	
against Japan.	
11 August 1950 TM-316: North Korean Unification Propaganda	25X1
∠A report concerning the current North Korean propaganda	
campaign for the unification of all Korea.	
17 August 1950 Memorandum: Factors Affecting the Desirability of a UN Military Conquest of all of	

Although an invasion of North Korea by UN forces could, if successful, bring several important advantages to the US, it appears

25X1

Korea

TOP SECRET

at present that grave risks would be involved in such a course of action. (1) It is doubtful that US allies and other non-Soviet nations in the UN would support such a course of action. Asian nations, particularly India, would react unfavorably, and many Asians might be convinced that the US is, after all, an aggressive nation pursuing a policy of self-interest in Asia. (2) The invading forces might become involved in hostilities with the Chinese Communists. As it became apparent that the North Koreans were being defeated in South Korea, the Chinese might well take up defensive positions north of the 38th Parallel. The USSR might use Chinese Communist troops at any stage in the fighting, but their participation would be especially useful at the 38th Parallel where UN members could legally discontinue their support of the US policy. (3) Inasmuch as the USSR would regard the invasion of North Korea as a strategic threat to the security of the Soviet Far East, the invading forces might become involved, either directly or indirectly, in hostilities with Soviet forces, under conditions which would alienate most of Asia from the US-UN cause in Korea.

The conquest of North Korea would not provide assurance of peace throughout the country or of true unification. Continued threats of aggression from Manchuria or the USSR would produce instability, requiring the continued presence of large numbers

TOP SECRET

of US or UN forces. Syngman Rhee and his regime are unpopular among many -- if not a majority -- of non-Communist Koreans. To establish his government throughout all Korea would be difficult, if not impossible; even if this could be done, the regime would be so unstable as to require continuing US or UN military and economic support. If a UN trusteeship were established it would be unstable. Korea once more would become the catspaw of international politics, and its ultimate status would be dependent upon the comparative strength and ambitions of the countries whose representatives supervised the trust administration.

8 September 1950 IM-324: Probability of Direct Chinese Communist Intervention in Korea

25X1

It is estimated that the major elements of Lin Piao's 4th Field Army - totalling perhaps 100,000 combat veterans -- are now in Manchuria and are probably located along or adjacent to the Korean border, in position for rapid commitment in Korea. Possibly 100,000 to 125,000 of the Military District troops in Manchuria have now been integrated into the regular Chinese Communist army and organized as combat forces. These

- 11 -

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

units probably are Soviet equipped. Approximately 210,000 Communist regulars under Nieh Jung-chen's command are presently deployed in the North China area; some of these troops have been reported en route to Manchuria.

gress near Antung and along the Yalu River. Chinese Communist aircraft are reported to have arrived at Antung.

It is evident that the Chinese Communists or the USSR must supply trained and equipped combat replacements if the North Korean invasion is to achieve complete control over South Korea before the end of the year. It is clear that intervention in Korea is well within immediate Chinese Communist capabilities. Moreover, recent Chinese Communist accusations regarding US "aggression" and "violation of the Manchurian border" may be stage-setting for an imminent overt move.

In view of the momentous repercussions from such an overt action, however, it appears more probable that the Chinese Communist participation in the Korean conflict will be more indirect, although significant, and will be limited to integrating into the North Korean forces "Manchurian volunteers", perhaps including air units as well as ground forces.

15 September 1950 IM-326: Military Supplies for North Korea				
A summary account of the supplies required by the				
North Korean army, and of the routes by which that army is				
re-supplied from the USSR.7				
20 September 1950 CIA 9-50: Review of the World Situation				
(based on information available to CIA on 15 September)				
. The desired and the second of the second o				

As a result of Communist seizure of control in China, the USSR has available in the Peiping regime a disciplined lieutenant capable of furthering the international Communist program of eliminating Western influence and establishing indigenous Communist governments throughout the Far East.

The concentration of Chinese Communist troops near the Korean border in Manchuria constitutes a powerful secondary reserve for the North Korean forces, which, if Moscow and Peiping should agree on it despite the attendant risks, could enter the battle and materially change its course at any time.

It is doubtful that either Soviet or Chinese Communist forces will be committed south of the 38th Parallel. Moscow and Peiping are much more likely to aid the Communist cause in Korea

- 13 -

25X1

by releasing large numbers of trained Chinese Communist (Manchurian "volunteer") units, perhaps including small air units, for incorporation in the North Korean forces.

12 October 1950 ORE 58-50: Critical Situations in the Far

/A set of six estimates prepared in response to a request from the President. Of the six, the first two refer to

25X1

Threat of Full Chinese Communist Intervention in Korea.

Korea.7

The Chinese Communist ground forces, currently lacking requisite air and naval support, are capable of intervening effectively, but not necessarily decisively, in the Korean conflict. There are no convincing indications of an actual Chinese Communist intention to resort to full-scale intervention in Korea. After reviewing the factors favoring, and those opposing, Chinese Communist intervention, it is concluded that "while full escale Chinese Communist intervention in Korea must be regarded as a continuing possibility, a consideration of all known factors leads to the conclusion that barring a Soviet decision

- 14 -

TOP SECRET

for global war, such action is not probable in 1950. During this period, intervention will probably be confined to continued covert assistance to the North Koreans."

B. Threat of Soviet Intervention in Korea

Soviet armed forces now in the Far East are capable of intervening overwhelmingly in Korea virtually without warning. The Soviet Union to date has given no indication that it intends to intervene directly in Korea. However, the Soviet Government for some months has been increasingly improving its military capabilities in the Far East as well as in other strategic areas.

After weighing the factors favoring, and those opposing, Soviet intervention: "It is believed that the Soviet leaders will not consider that their prospective losses in Korea warrant direct military intervention and a consequent grave risk of war. They will intervene in the Korean hostilities only if they have decided, not on the basis of the Korean situation alone, but on the basis of over-all considerations, that it is to their interest to precipitate a global war at this time."

18 October 1950	CIA 10-50:	Review	of	the	World	Situation
			Γ			

The Soviet Korean venture has ended in failure.

The Kremlin will probably aid the North Korean Communists to hold organized defensive positions as long as possible and then to harass UN forces by employing guerrilla warfare.

There are certainly enough Chinese Communist forces readily available in Manchuria to permit military intervention on a scale sufficient to alter the course of events in Korea.

Forty to sixty thousand Chinese-trained Communist troops have in fact already been fighting in the North Korean army. It is becoming less and less likely, however, that Chinese Communist troop units will openly enter the battle under the flag of the Peiping regime. Unless the USSR is ready to precipitate global war, or unless for some reason the Peiping leaders do not think that war with the US would result from open intervention in Korea, the odds are that Communist China, like the USSR, will not openly intervene against the UN troops in North Korea.

6 November 1950 NIE-2: Chinese Communist Intervention in Korea.

Present Chinese Communist troop strength in North Korea is estimated at 30,000 to 40,000; in Manchuria it is estimated

25X1

TOP SECRET

at 700,000 of which at least 200,000 are regular field forces. These troop strengths, added to the forces already in Korea are believed to make the Chinese Communists capable of: (a) halting further UN advance northward, through piecemeal commitment of troops; or (b) forcing UN withdrawal to defensive positions farther south by a powerful assault.

The objective of the Chinese Communist intervention appears to be to halt the advance of UN troops in Korea and to keep a Communist regime in being on Korean soil. The Chinese Communists retain full freedom of action. If they should succeed in destroying the effective strength of UN forces in North Korea they would pursue their advantage as far as possible. If the military situation is stabilized they may well consider that, with advantageous terrain and the onset of winter, their forces now in Korea are sufficient to prevent a military decision favorable to the UN, at least until spring.

A likely and logical development of the present situation is that the opposing sides will build up their combat power in successive increments to checkmate the other until forces of major magnitude are involved. At any point in this development the danger is present that the situation may get out of control and lead to a general war. The Chinese Communists appreciate

that they have incurred grave risks of retaliation. They would probably ignore a UN ultimatum requiring their withdrawal. If Chinese territory were to be attacked, they could and probably would enter Korea in full force, with the purpose of expelling UN forces altogether.

15 November 1950 NIE-3: Soviet Capabilities and Intentions

25X1

"With respect to the Korean situation, to date there is insufficient evidence to indicate that the USSR intends to commit Soviet forces overtly in Korea. However, the commitment of Chinese Communist forces, with Soviet material aid, indicates that the USSR considers the Korean situation of sufficient importance to warrant the risk of general war. . . The probability is that the Soviet Government has not yet made a decision directly to launch a general war over the Korean-Chinese situation.

There is a good chance that they will not in the immediate future take such a decision."

15 November 1950 CIA 11-50: Review of the World Situation

The intervention of Chinese Communist troops in Korea demon-

25X1 25X1

strates that the USSR is willing to pursue the experiment in war-by-proxy despite a considerable risk of vastly enlarging the area of armed conflict.

By not formally announcing the objectives of their intervention, the Chinese Communists have retained full freedom of action with respect to Korea, and, depending upon UN and US reaction, can tailor the precise nature and extent of their intervention to developments.

24 November 1950 NIE-2/1: Chinese Communist Intervention in Korea

The Chinese Communists will simultaneously:

- a. Maintain Chinese-North Korean holding operations in North Korea.
- Maintain or increase their military strength in Manchuria.
- c. Seek to obtain UN withdrawal from Korea by intimidation and diplomatic means.

In case of failure to obtain UN withdrawal by these means, there will be increasing Chinese intervention in Korea. At a minimum, the Chinese will conduct, on an increasing scale, unacknowledged operations designed to immobilize UN forces in

Korea, to subject them to prolonged attrition, and to maintain the semblance of a North Korean state in being, Available evidence is not conclusive as to whether or not the Chinese Communists are as yet committed to a full-scale offensive effort. Eventually they may undertake operations designed to bring about the withdrawal of UN forces from Korea. It is estimated that they do not have the military capability of driving the UN forces from the peninsula, but that they do have the capability of forcing them to withdraw to defensive positions for prolonged and inconclusive operations, which, the Communists might calculate, would lead to eventual UN withdrawal from Korea.

The USSR will continue to support the Chinese Communists as long as their intervention continues.

The risk that a general war will develop already exists.

27 November 1950 NIE-2/2: Soviet Participation in the Air Defense of Manchuria

25X1 25X1

In the event of UN air attack on targets in Manchuria, the USSR would provide aircraft, anti-aircraft artillery, and trained personnel as necessary for the defense of Manchurian targets.

At least initially, the most likely form of Soviet participation in the defense of Manchurian targets would be actual participation

without identification. The open participation of Soviet units would be unlikely unless general war should develop.

UN air attacks on Manchuria, alone, probably would not cause the Soviet rulers to decide to launch a general war.

5 December 1950 NIE-11: Soviet Intentions in the Current Situation

Developments in Korea and Manchuria indicate that the purpose of the Chinese Communist intervention is to render the UN position in Korea untenable. The Chinese Communists have accepted the risk of general war with the US; it is highly improbable that they would have done so without explicit assurance of effective Soviet support.

The Soviet Union will probably (1) continue to support the Chinese operations in Korea with material, technical personnel, and even "volunteer" units, as necessary; (2) provide aircraft and anti-aircraft artillery with trained personnel, as necessary for the defense of targets in China against UN air attack; (3) come openly to the support of Communist China under the terms of the Sino-Soviet Treaty, in the event of major US (UN) operations against Chinese territory.

The Soviet rulers must have felt ready to accept the increased risk of global war involved in the Chinese Communist intervention in Korea. They must estimate that a broadening of the Korean war into a general war between the United States and China would be advantageous to the USSR. Whether or not a global war were to ensue, the USSR could reasonably hope to derive advantages from the development of a general war between the United States and Communist China.

11 December 1950 NIE-15: Probable Soviet Moves to Exploit the Present Situation

25X1

"It can be anticipated that irrespective of any Western moves looking toward negotiation, assuming virtual Western surrender is not involved, the Kremlin plans a continuation of Chinese Communist pressure in Korea until the military defeat of the UN is complete. A determined and successful stand by UN forces in Korea would, of course, require a Soviet re-estimate of the situation."

27 December 1950 NIE-12: Consequences of the Early Employment of Chinese Nationalist Forces in Korea

/This report weighs the various factors involved in

TOP SECRET

immediately using Chinese Nationalist troops in Korea, and concludes that the reasons against such use outweigh those in its favor. The Director of Intelligence, USAF, publishes a dissent.

11 January 1951 SE-1: International Implications of Maintaining a Beachhead in South Korea

This paper estimates and enumerates the advantages and disadvantages of holding a UN beachhead in South Korea.7

17 January 1951 NIE-10: Communist China

A general paper on Communist China, with the following remarks relevant to the Korean situation:

"The scale of the Chinese Communist operations in Korea and the unwillingness of the Chinese Communists to discuss a diplomatic settlement except on their own terms indicate that they intend to drive UN forces out of Korea; they have already committed a large proportion of their best troops for this purpose, and are prepared to commit additional forces."

25X1

"The continued maintenance of UN military operations in Korea would result in a significant drain on the Chinese Communists, would pin down a large portion of their crack troops and reduce their warmaking capabilities elsewhere. . ."

CHRONOLOGICAL LIST*

19 June 1950	ORE 18-50:	Current Capabilities of the Northern Korean Regime
28 June 1950	IM-300:	The USSR and the Korean Invasion
8 July 1950	IM-302:	Consequences of the Korean Incident
10 July 1950	IM-303:	Soviet Capabilities with Respect to Japan in the Light of US Commitment in Korea
10 July 1950	IM-304:	Effects of a Voluntary With- drawal of US Forces from Korea
19 July 1950	CIA 7-50:	Review of the World Situation
2 August 1950	IM-311:	Northern Korea's Dependance on Outside Supplies
4 August 1950	IM-315:	Possible Soviet Use of Japanese Prisoners of War
11 August 1950	IM-316:	North Korean Unification Propa-
17 August 1950	MEMO- RANDUM:	Factors Affecting the Desirability of a UN Military Conquest of all of Korea (Typescript - 4 cc handed by DCI to NSC Staff)
8 September 1950 V	IM-324:	Probability of Direct Chinese Communist Intervention in Korea
14 September 1950	ORE-45-50: (<u>Cancelled</u>)	Estimate Possible Soviet Courses of Action in Korea in the Face of an Approaching Military Stalemate
15 September 1950	IM-326:	Military Supplies for North Korea

TOP SECRET

12 October 1950	V	ORE 58-50:	Critical Situations in the Far East (Prepared for the Wake Island Conference)	25X1
18 October 1950		CIA 10-50:	Review of the World Situation.	25X1
6 November 1950	V	NIE-2:	Chinese Communist Intervention in Korea	25X1 25X1
15 November 1950	V	NIE-3:	Soviet Capabilities and Intentions	
15 November 1950		CIA 11-50:	Review of the World Situation	25X1
24 November 1950	~	NIE-2/1:	Chinese Communist Intervention in Korea	25X1
27 November 1950	V	NIE-2/2:	Soviet Participation in the Air Defense of Manchuria	25X1
5 December 1950	٧	NIE-11:	Soviet Intentions in the Current Situation	25X1
11 December 1950	V	NIE-15:	Probable Soviet Moves to Exploit the Present Situation	25X1
27 December 1950	V	NIE-12:	Consequences of the Early Employment of Chinese Nationalist Forces in Korea	25X1
11 January 1951	~	SE-1: V	International Implications of Maintaining a Beachhead in South Korea	25X1
17 Tomiomr 1051		NIE-10:		25X1
17 January 1951	V	14 TU-TO 2	Communist China	20A I

Next 4 Page(s) In Document Exempt

FBID REPORTING ON THE KOREAN WAR

FBID reported:

3 August, USSR Survey

10 August, USSR Survey

17 August, USSR Survey

31 August, USSR Survey

8 September, USSR Survey

14 September, USSR Survey

21 September, USSR Survey

10 October, Special Report on Soviet broadcasts on the war

18 October, USSR Survey

In late July there was a revival of pre-Korean war charges that the U.S. harbored hostile intentions toward all of Asia.

Moscow emphatically claims that American intervention in Korea constitutes aggression since the hostilities are a "civil war."

Peking does not exploit the "civil war argument."

There is a declining volume of attention to the Korean war but increasing attention to America's aggressive intentions toward all of Asia. Moscow insists that the Korean war is but a stepping stone to further aggression while Peking notes that America wants to widen the sphere of aggression.

American efforts to keep China out of the Korean war are ignored by both Peking and Moscow. The 27 August raid on Antung is said to be a premeditated, provocative act.

The shooting down of the Soviet plane receives only moderate propaganda treatment but there are continuing and frequent hints concerning the American intention to enlarge the sphere of aggression to include all the people of Asia.

There is little attention to U.N. consideration of Peking's charges of American aggression against China and there is continuing and concentrated denunciation of American aggression from Peking. Moscow reiterates that the U.S. is starting a third world war in Korea.

Radio Moscow has been unwilling at any time to commit its propaganda to a North Korean victory. It has not predicted a Korean victory in specific terms or talked about Korean strength in a way which would involve a serious loss of face or a committment of Soviet material support.

Chou En-lai's bitter rejection of American aggression and his claim that China will not "supinely tolerate" such aggression is publicized without any indication from either Peking or Moscow of what steps will be taken.

SECRET

SECRET

23 October,	Special	Report
	for the	
	Presider	rt

PRAVDA ignores President Truman's appeal to the Chinese people and Peking speaks of "euphem-isms" about American friendship for China.

1 November, USSR Survey

Moscow continues to document charges that America is the "worst enemy" of the Chinese people.

8 November, Far East Survey

In the week 31 October to 6 November Chinese broadcasts increase their denunciations of American aggression in a ratio of five to one. There is an unprecedented attempt to convince the Chinese people that "the blood debt" to Korea must be paid and that the U.S. can be defeated.

15 November, USSR Survey

Moscow justifies Chinese participation by elaborating on the closeness to China's borders of America's aggressive actions and by dwelling on the charge that U.S. aggression in Korea is really directed at China.

22 November, Trends and Highlights

Moscow avoids military events and gives little attention to the Chinese delegation at the U.N. It does not commit itself or that delegation to any particular course of action nor indicate that there is a threat of general war.

6 December, Trends and Highlights

PRAVDA's exploitation of President Truman's threat to China and general attacks on American aggression in Korea bring attention to the war to a record breaking 51 percent of all comment.

7 December, Far East Survey

Peking gives little heed to President Truman's "saber rattling" statement of 30 November and continues its attacks on American aggression.

There is no departure from the claim that only volunteers are fighting in Korea.

14 December, USSR Survey

Moscow ignores the possibility of a negotiated settlement and continues to talk of expanded American aggression.

20 December, Trends and Highlights

U.N. mediation efforts are ignored and atrocity propaganda is emphasized in Soviet broadcasts.

21 December, Far East Survey

Peking says mediation efforts are an American directed maneuver and widely publicizes Delegate Wu's denunciation of American hostility.

5 January, Far East Survey

Peking continues to endorse Chou En-lai's rejection of U.N. negotiation efforts. There is no attempt to exploit a victory situation.

11 January, USSR Survey

Moscow vehemently rejects the U.N. resolution branding China an aggressor and contrasts U.S. hostility toward China with Soviet friendliness.

SECRET

8	February,	USSR	Survey	
---	-----------	------	--------	--

Chou's caustic rejection of the U.N. resolution is hailed but PRAVDA is less categoric in its rejection.

14 February, Trends and Highlights

U.N. negotiation efforts continue to be ignored.

21 February, USSR Survey

The Sino-Soviet treaty is commemorated in elaborations on its economic and political significance. Moscow goes to great lengths to identify the U.S. as the enemy of China.

21 February, USSR Survey

Despite the large volume of broadcast time devoted to underscoring Stalin's interview there is little stress on his temperately-worded references to American fighting ability and the references to a settlement in Korea are not mentioned. Moscow continues to charge the U.S. with slandering China and to ignore the mediation committees.

28 February, Trends and Highlights

Two indicators of possible Sino-Korean tension appear in Moscow broadcasts for the first time since November; Pyongyang also suggests such tension in stressing the cordiality of Sino-Korean relations.

7 March, Trends and Highlights

Moscow's attention veers away from military events but the certainty of a Korean victory is reiterated.

8 March, USSR Survey

Moscow's attention to the Korean war continues to be low in volume and defensive in tone. Soviet broadcasts make conventional references to the ultimate victory of the Korean cause but do not elaborate on the predictions.

14 March, Trends and Highlights

Moscow gives minimal attention to the military situation and ignores the possibility of a negotiated settlement.

15 March, Far East Survey

Peking overlooks the actual hostilities and attempts instead to make propaganda capital out of Stalin's declaration concerning a settlement. American atrocities are highlighted.

22 March, USSR Survey

There is marked attention to Soviet-Korean friendship in its economic and cultural manifestations and to the propriety of the USSR's support of the Korean cause; but there is no attempt to commit the Soviet Union to any specific course of action. American hostility toward the CPR continues to be documented.

28 March, Trends and Highlights

General MacArthur's 23 March bid for a field truce is ignored. Moscow's continued declarations that the Korean cause will be victorious remain detached and there is no committeent to concrete

Approved For Release 2004/12/050 VGA REP 79 Re1095 A 000 800 010 00 112 er pointed prodding of the Koreans to reconstruct their reconomy and supply the fighting forces.

29 March, Far East Survey

Peking makes a definite attempt to establish "the high degree of fraternal love, unity, and mutual assistance" between the Chinese and Korean fighters.

4 April, Trends and Highlights

Moscow ignores the question of a field truce or a negotiated settlement and makes frequent reference to Stalin's pronouncement that the West must accept Peking's terms.

5 April, USSR Survey

Moscow ignores the military situation, claiming that American military strength is a myth, and concentrates on convincing the Koreans that their cause is receiving wide support. But it scrupulously avoids the question of more than spiritual support from the USSR.

19 April, USSR Survey

Moscow's current concentration on American responsibility for the war seems intended to capitalize on the reportedly growing American opposition to the war. The theme has recurred throughout the nine months of the war but now receives marked publicity. Moscow continues to remind the Koreans that other countries are also engaged in liberating themselves from capitalist exploitation.

Next 8 Page(s) In Document Exempt



Next 12 Page(s) In Document Exempt

TAB

Approved For Release 2004/12/01. JAN 20 P79R01095A000800010001-2

THE COMMANDING GENERAL FAR EAST AIR FORCES APO 925

19 August 1950

_	_			
2	5	Х	1	

SUBJECT	:	Letter of Commendation
THRU :	:	
TO :	:	

25X1

- 1. Your action in conjunction with the Far East Air Forces Intelligence Team operating in Korea during the period 30 June 18 July 1950, have been brought to my attention.
- 2. Your ingenuity and tireless effort in the collection and transmission of intelligence vital to the Far East Air Forces, was a contributing factor which enabled the Far East Air Forces to intelligently plan and execute devastating air strikes against the enemy.
- 3. On several occasions you carried out extensive reconnaissance missions with utter disregard for your own personal safety. These missions resulted in the clarification of friendly troop dispositions and in locating enemy positions that soon became the targets of air attack.
- 4. The excellent cooperation and team work that existed between your group and the Far East Air Force group enabled the accomplishment of a mission well done, and was made possible, to a large extent, through your efforts.
- 5. In view of the above achievements, I would like to take this opportunity to commend you for your splendid performance. Your actions stand as a tribute to our country and a credit to your service.

GEORGE E. STRATEMEYER
Lieutenant General, U. S. Air Force
Commanding

C O P

Approved For Release 2004/12/01 3 7 79R01095A000800010001-2

THE COMMANDING GENERAL FAR EAST AIR FORCES APO 925

19 August 1950

SUBJEC	CT:	Letter of Commendation
THRU	:	
TO	:	

25X1

25X1

- 1. Your commendable actions in conjunction with the Far East Air Forces Intelligence Team in Korea during the period 29 June 18 July 1950 has been brought to my attention.
- 2. The skillful application of your knowledge of radio plus your tireless effort, often requiring that you work round the clock, enabled the team to make available to Far East Air Forces a large volume of information amounting to as much as 9000 groups per 24 hour period. This information had a very direct bearing upon Far East Air Forces air operations during the first two weeks of the conflict.
- 3. In spite of enemy activity that required that your station be moved several times under conditions of extreme difficulty, and required that you destroy most of your equipment, you succeeded in maintaining radio contact with the outside when all others failed. For a period of several weeks you were the only secure means of communication. This was accomplished largely through the efforts of yourself and one other man. A great responsibility was placed upon your shoulders, and you rose nobly to the occasion.
- 4. In view of the above achievements, I would like to take this opportunity to commend your actions. Your actions are a tribute to our country and a credit to your service.

GEORGE E. STRATEMEYER
Lieutenant General, U. S. Air Forces
Commanding

THE COMMANDING GENERAL FAR EAST AIR FORCES APO 925

19 August 1950

SUBJE	CT:	Letter of Commendation
THRU	:	
TO	:	

25X1

25X1

- 1. Your commendable actions in conjunction with the Far East Air Forces Intelligence Team in Korea during the period 29 June 18 July 1950 has been brought to my attention.
- 2. The skillful application of your knowledge of radio plus your tireless effort, often requiring that you work round the clock, enabled the team to make available to Far East Air Forces a large volume of information amounting to as much as 9000 groups per 24 hour period. This information had a very direct bearing upon Far East Air Forces air operations during the first two weeks of the conflict.
- 3. In spite of enemy activity that required that your station be moved several times under conditions of extreme difficulty, and required that you destroy most of your equipment, you succeeded in maintaining radio contact with the outside when all others failed. For a period of several weeks you were the only secure means of communication. This was accomplished largely through the efforts of yourself and one other man. A great responsibility was placed upon your shoulders, and you rose nobly to the occasion.
- 4. In view of the above achievements, I would like to take this opportunity to commend your actions. Your actions are a tribute to our country and a credit to your service.

GEORGE E. STRATEMEYER Lieutenant General, U. S. Air Forces Commanding

Approved For Release 2004/12/01 : \$600079R01095A000800010001-2

THE COMMANDING GENERAL FAR EAST AIR FORCES APO 925

			19 August 1950
SUBJEC	T:	Letter of Commendation	
THRU	:		
TO	:		

25X1

- 1. Your commendable actions in conjunction with the Far East Air Forces Intelligence Team in Korea during the period 29 June 18 July 1950 has been brought to my attention.
- 2. Your ingenuity and tireless effort in the collection, organization and transmission of intelligence vital to the Far East Air Forces was a contributing factor which enabled Far East Air Forces to intelligently plan and execute devastating air strikes against the enemy.
- 3. The fact that you often worked around the clock to the detriment of your own health and well being, eventually resulting in your collapse from sheer exhaustion, is an act of devotion of the highest order.
- 4. The excellent cooperation and team work that existed between your group and the Far East Air Forces group enabled the accomplishment of a mission well done, and was made possible to a large extent through your efforts.
- 5. In view of the above achievements, I would like to take this opportunity to commend you for your splendid performances. Your actions stand as a tribute to our country and a credit to your service.

GEORGE E. STRATEMEYER Lieutenant General, U. S. Air Forces Commanding 25X1





Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt